# Discussion on 'Risk Premiums and Macroeconomic Dynamics in a Heterogeneous Agent Model' by De Graeve, Dossche, Emiris, Sneessens, Wouter

#### Antti Ripatti

Monetary Policy and Research Bank of Finland

Financial Markets in Dynamic General Equilibrium Helsinki, 15-16 May 2008

Summary of the results

L<sub>QUEST</sub>

#### AIM OF THE STUDY

WHAT MODEL FEATURES ARE NEEDED TO MATCH BOTH FINANCIAL MARKET AND REAL ECONOMY DATA?

A start of the research agenda aiming in estimating (nonlinear) DSGE model using both financial and real economy data.

#### GENERAL-TO-SPECIFIC APPROACH

Use wide variety of model and preference specifications to find empirically interesting special cases.

Summary of the results

RELATED LITERATURE

## GUVENEN

- Builds on heterogeneity:
  - stockholders can participate stock markets (Type 1),
  - non-stockholders participate only bond market; more risk-averse (special case of Type 2)
- Non-stockholders smooth consumption only in bond markets
  - $\rightarrow$  bid bond prices up  $\rightarrow$  low risk-free rate.
  - $\rightarrow$  stockholders (elastic) supply those bonds  $\rightarrow$  increase their consumption volatility

 $\rightarrow$  stockholders can insure via management of the capital stock.

• Labour supply is exogenous! (recently endogenous)

Summary of the results

RELATED LITERATURE

### DANTHINE – DONALDSON

- Builds on heterogeneity:
  - stockholders can participate financial markets (Type 1),
  - workers not (Type 3).
- An additional source of risk: distribution risk (risk to labour share; bargaining power of shareholders), that is uninsurable.
- Efficient bargaining: Stockholders insure workers (who cannot participate to financial markets) against extremes of competitive income determination in exchange of paying a lower wage on average. → Firm's wage bill vary less than output
  - $\rightarrow$  firm's profits vary more
  - $\rightarrow$  stockholders consumption vary more
  - $\rightarrow$  higher equity premium.
- Leverage improve fit by increasing profit volatility
- Labour supply is exogenous!

Summary of the results

RELATED LITERATURE

## Uhlig

- Aims in explaining both asset pricing and macroeconomic facts.
- Labour supply is endogenous: studies non-separable utility function in an otherwise standard framework.
- Reverse-engineering parameter values from US data
- Some results:
  - Non-separability does not help in explaining facts
  - Wage frictions help a lot
  - Guvenen does not help (first-order approximation, smaller shock variance)

Discussion on Wouters et al Summary of the results Related literature

## RAF'S PAPER

- Combines the above three papers into single framework.
- Labour supply is endogenous: various forms of separable and non-separable utility functions
- Features:
  - Combination helps in fitting asset price facts.
  - Capital adjustment costs: decrease the interest rate sensitivity of consumption, but increase investment and risk free rate volatility.
  - Price rigidity: reduces stock premium, but increase bond premium.
  - Habit formation: strengthens other responses but generate volatile risk-free rate
  - Correlated shocks: improve macroeconomic fit
  - Leverage: further increase in volatility of profits
  - 2nd order approximation (3rd order arriving yielding statistics related to temporal dependence)

Summary of the results

L<sub>RELATED LITERATURE</sub>



It seems that Raf is searching priors to the parameters to be estimated!

Summary of the results

L RELATED LITERATURE

## EQUITY PREMIUM AND BOND PREMIUM



Discussion on Wouters et al Discussion Comments

#### VARIATION IN THE LABOUR SHARE

- Bargaining setup fits well with the heterogeneous agents story. Old tool from labour economics (static models).
- Distribution shock is a time-varying bargaining power of 'capitalists'.
- CES production function would lead to *endogenous* variation in the labour-share



Discussion on Wouters et al

#### EXOGENOUS WEIGHTS OF INVESTOR TYPES

- The weights of various investor types are exogenously given (fixed parameter).
- As Guvenen (2003) report, there has been significant increase in the share of 'capitalists':
  - 1950s: 5 %
  - 1982: 19 %
  - 1999: 50 %

participate stock markets!

• Hence, the share is not constant over time, but the parameter is!

Could we endogenize the shares by relating them to life-cycle: elder (retired) people, who have shorter investment horizon, would *endogenously* not participate stock market. Increase in longevity correlates with Guvenen's numbers.