

# Discussion of "Deposit Insurance and Money market Freezes" by Bruche, Suarez

Filippo Taddei

Collegio Carlo Alberto

October 15th 2009

- 1 In financial crisis, especially the current one, money market (MM) stop working
- 2 How does this happen?
- 3 Why this matters for the real economy (Vs the financial sector)?
- 4 What policy can prevent or ameliorate this outcome?

- Build a general equilibrium model with standard ingredients:
  - Individuals save and provide capital and deposit to the banks
  - Firms borrow from (competitive) banks
  - The government provides **Deposit Insurance**
  - Individuals receive firms profit and interest payments by banks
- but in addition ...
  - credit is relationship-based and thus intermediated by banks
  - economies is divided in two regions, each served by a different (representative) bank
  - So there is role for interbank Money Market (MM). Why?
- When a bank needs funds in excess to capital and deposit available in his region
  - because there are decreasing marginal returns in firms investment
- Access MM to borrow from the other bank/region

- What can go wrong?
  - if the real economy experiences a sudden increase in systemic risk
    - i.e. higher risk that firms/creditors go bankrupt
  - then banks in the borrowing region may fail
  - Government steps in to refund depositors
  - but lending banks in the other region suffer an (uninsured) loss
  - so banks in the lending region, anticipating systemic risk, stop inter-bank lending
  - **MM Freeze**: even though it is beneficial not to stop it

# Centrality of Deposit Insurance

- What is special to the MM and why it may freeze differently from standard saving?

# Centrality of Deposit Insurance

- What is special to the MM and why it may freeze differently from standard saving?
  - The difference is that liability in the MM are not insured

# Centrality of Deposit Insurance

- What is special to the MM and why it may freeze differently from standard saving?
  - The difference is that liability in the MM are not insured
- So, if firms fail and jeopardize banks assets, then government intervenes
  - But it takes away all residual values of the failing bank claims

# Centrality of Deposit Insurance

- What is special to the MM and why it may freeze differently from standard saving?
  - The difference is that liability in the MM are not insured
- So, if firms fail and jeopardize banks assets, then government intervenes
  - But it takes away all residual values of the failing bank claims
- since MM claims are junior to deposits, all residuals value of the bank loans go to (partially) refund the government
  - NOTHING is left for MM lenders



# Centrality of Deposit Insurance

- What is special to the MM and why it may freeze differently from standard saving?
  - The difference is that liability in the MM are not insured
- So, if firms fail and jeopardize banks assets, then government intervenes
  - But it takes away all residual values of the failing bank claims
- since MM claims are junior to deposits, all residuals value of the bank loans go to (partially) refund the government
  - NOTHING is left for MM lenders
  
- Deposit Insurance is a double edged sword:

# Centrality of Deposit Insurance

- What is special to the MM and why it may freeze differently from standard saving?
  - The difference is that liability in the MM are not insured
- So, if firms fail and jeopardize banks assets, then government intervenes
  - But it takes away all residual values of the failing bank claims
- since MM claims are junior to deposits, all residuals value of the bank loans go to (partially) refund the government
  - NOTHING is left for MM lenders
- Deposit Insurance is a double edged sword:
  - it reassures households lending to banks (aka deposits)

# Centrality of Deposit Insurance

- What is special to the MM and why it may freeze differently from standard saving?
  - The difference is that liability in the MM are not insured
- So, if firms fail and jeopardize banks assets, then government intervenes
  - But it takes away all residual values of the failing bank claims
- since MM claims are junior to deposits, all residuals value of the bank loans go to (partially) refund the government
  - NOTHING is left for MM lenders
- Deposit Insurance is a double edged sword:
  - it reassures households lending to banks (aka deposits)
  - it "scares away" inter-bank lending

# Main Contribution of the Paper and Government Policy

- Problem is asymmetry generated by presence Deposit Insurance

# Main Contribution of the Paper and Government Policy

- Problem is asymmetry generated by presence Deposit Insurance
- MAIN CONTRIBUTION: there is an hidden cost of Deposit Insurance,

# Main Contribution of the Paper and Government Policy

- Problem is asymmetry generated by presence Deposit Insurance
- MAIN CONTRIBUTION: there is an hidden cost of Deposit Insurance,
  - it may kill interbank lending through MM

# Main Contribution of the Paper and Government Policy

- Problem is asymmetry generated by presence Deposit Insurance
- MAIN CONTRIBUTION: there is an hidden cost of Deposit Insurance,
  - it may kill interbank lending through MM
- So we are left with two choices:

# Main Contribution of the Paper and Government Policy

- Problem is asymmetry generated by presence Deposit Insurance
- MAIN CONTRIBUTION: there is an hidden cost of Deposit Insurance,
  - it may kill interbank lending through MM
- So we are left with two choices:
- ① Government could offer credit DIRECTLY to the bank in potential distress (emphasized in the paper)



# Main Contribution of the Paper and Government Policy

- Problem is asymmetry generated by presence Deposit Insurance
- MAIN CONTRIBUTION: there is an hidden cost of Deposit Insurance,
  - it may kill interbank lending through MM
- So we are left with two choices:
- ① Government could offer credit DIRECTLY to the bank in potential distress (emphasized in the paper)
  - Equivalently guarantee the liability of borrowing bank: an **extended "deposit" insurance** Only complication is that the price is not paid once, as in standard option, but over life cycle

# Main Contribution of the Paper and Government Policy

- Problem is asymmetry generated by presence Deposit Insurance
  - MAIN CONTRIBUTION: there is an hidden cost of Deposit Insurance,
    - it may kill interbank lending through MM
  - So we are left with two choices:
- 1 Government could offer credit DIRECTLY to the bank in potential distress (emphasized in the paper)
    - Equivalently guarantee the liability of borrowing bank: an **extended "deposit" insurance** Only complication is that the price is not paid once, as in standard option, but over life cycle
  - 2 Do nothing: take away Deposit Insurance (in Appendix)

# Main Contribution of the Paper and Government Policy

- Problem is asymmetry generated by presence Deposit Insurance
  - MAIN CONTRIBUTION: there is an hidden cost of Deposit Insurance,
    - it may kill interbank lending through MM
  - So we are left with two choices:
- 1 Government could offer credit DIRECTLY to the bank in potential distress (emphasized in the paper)
    - Equivalently guarantee the liability of borrowing bank: an **extended "deposit" insurance** Only complication is that the price is not paid once, as in standard option, but over life cycle
  - 2 Do nothing: take away Deposit Insurance (in Appendix)
    - No reason NOT to do it in the model. Actually it's welfare superior because NO TAX

- The model is streamlined in a very smart way. All crucial ingredients are there.

- The model is streamlined in a very smart way. All crucial ingredients are there.
- In fact Quantitative Exercise (very thorough) can be taken seriously, even if we use a two period model

- The model is streamlined in a very smart way. All crucial ingredients are there.
- In fact Quantitative Exercise (very thorough) can be taken seriously, even if we use a two period model
- Very intriguing argument: how does it speak to the current crisis?

- The model is streamlined in a very smart way. All crucial ingredients are there.
  - In fact Quantitative Exercise (very thorough) can be taken seriously, even if we use a two period model
  - Very intriguing argument: how does it speak to the current crisis?
- We tend to think that the root was Leverage in financial markets, not real economy

- The model is streamlined in a very smart way. All crucial ingredients are there.
  - In fact Quantitative Exercise (very thorough) can be taken seriously, even if we use a two period model
  - Very intriguing argument: how does it speak to the current crisis?
- We tend to think that the root was Leverage in financial markets, not real economy
  - Here is the opposite: the real economy outlook worsens, then banks fail, then this propagates



- The model is streamlined in a very smart way. All crucial ingredients are there.
- In fact Quantitative Exercise (very thorough) can be taken seriously, even if we use a two period model
- Very intriguing argument: how does it speak to the current crisis?
- We tend to think that the root was Leverage in financial markets, not real economy
- Here is the opposite: the real economy outlook worsens, then banks fail, then this propagates
- Since depositors concentrate their savings/deposits where they live

- The model is streamlined in a very smart way. All crucial ingredients are there.
- In fact Quantitative Exercise (very thorough) can be taken seriously, even if we use a two period model
- Very intriguing argument: how does it speak to the current crisis?
- We tend to think that the root was Leverage in financial markets, not real economy
- Here is the opposite: the real economy outlook worsens, then banks fail, then this propagates
- Since depositors concentrate their savings/deposits where they live
- Natural argument for large banks: avoid regional segmentation and undesired effect DI

# Final Remarks

- Spill-over implication of the analysis (not present in the paper): theoretical support of bank bailouts. Why?
- Saving banks is a way of preserving MM lending
- in fact it stopped exactly when some banks were feared to fail
  
- If you can't move the money, move the people!
- maybe optimal not to spread economic opportunities far away from location of savings (banks)
- regional disparities in credit market may be optimal reaction to Deposit Insurance (DI)
  
- **Main Comment:** role is missing for asymmetric information regarding the current crisis and interbank lending in particular
- Benefit, over regional segmentation, is that is more intuitive and easier to defend
- Regional segmentation of bank activity seems so fragile as equilibrium phenomenon