The Great Inflation and the Greenbook

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison

October 2007

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison The Great Inflation and the Greenbook

- A 🖃

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison

-

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 田 ト ・

• Great Inflation is the climactic monetary event of last part of the twentieth century

- Great Inflation is the climactic monetary event of last part of the twentieth century
- Two explanations

- Great Inflation is the climactic monetary event of last part of the twentieth century
- Two explanations
 - Federal Reserve was constrained by need to finance expansionary fiscal policy (politics view)

- Great Inflation is the climactic monetary event of last part of the twentieth century
- Two explanations
 - Federal Reserve was constrained by need to finance expansionary fiscal policy (politics view)
 - Federal Reserve was constrained by misguided economic framework of the time (ideas view)

- Great Inflation is the climactic monetary event of last part of the twentieth century
- Two explanations
 - Federal Reserve was constrained by need to finance expansionary fiscal policy (politics view)
 - Federal Reserve was constrained by misguided economic framework of the time (ideas view)
- Most evidence to date has been based on reading of narrative record, e.g. Meltzer (2005) vs. Romer (2005)

- Great Inflation is the climactic monetary event of last part of the twentieth century
- Two explanations
 - Federal Reserve was constrained by need to finance expansionary fiscal policy (politics view)
 - Federal Reserve was constrained by misguided economic framework of the time (ideas view)
- Most evidence to date has been based on reading of narrative record, e.g. Meltzer (2005) vs. Romer (2005)
- Very few attempts to empirically test alternative explanations using dynamics of macroeconomy during Great Inflation

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison The Great Inflation and the Greenbook

• Sargent's *Conquest of American Inflation* proposes that Great Inflation was caused by Federal Reserve discovering and then abandoning the Phillips curve

- Sargent's *Conquest of American Inflation* proposes that Great Inflation was caused by Federal Reserve discovering and then abandoning the Phillips curve
- Sargent, Williams and Zha (2006) take the learning hypothesis to data and are remarkably successful at explaining **what** the Federal Reserve did

- Sargent's *Conquest of American Inflation* proposes that Great Inflation was caused by Federal Reserve discovering and then abandoning the Phillips curve
- Sargent, Williams and Zha (2006) take the learning hypothesis to data and are remarkably successful at explaining **what** the Federal Reserve did
- We ask whether SWZ are also able to explain **why** the Federal Reserve acted as it did. We use forecast data from the Greenbooks to implicitly identify the rationale behind policy

- Sargent's *Conquest of American Inflation* proposes that Great Inflation was caused by Federal Reserve discovering and then abandoning the Phillips curve
- Sargent, Williams and Zha (2006) take the learning hypothesis to data and are remarkably successful at explaining **what** the Federal Reserve did
- We ask whether SWZ are also able to explain **why** the Federal Reserve acted as it did. We use forecast data from the Greenbooks to implicitly identify the rationale behind policy
- "Irrational Expectations Econometrics", Ireland (2003). Learning implies cross-equation restrictions between the 'what' and 'why' of Federal Reserve policy

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison The Great Inflation and the Greenbook

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回

• The Federal Reserve forecasts in the estimation results of SWZ are not consistent with those published in the Greenbook

- The Federal Reserve forecasts in the estimation results of SWZ are not consistent with those published in the Greenbook
- If consistency with Greenbook forecasts is imposed then the learning hypothesis struggles to explain the dynamics of the Great Inflation

- The Federal Reserve forecasts in the estimation results of SWZ are not consistent with those published in the Greenbook
- If consistency with Greenbook forecasts is imposed then the learning hypothesis struggles to explain the dynamics of the Great Inflation
- The deterioration in fit is robust to popular alternative specifications of the objectives of Federal Reserve policy.

 Federal Reserve assumed to have an approximating model of unemployment-inflation dynamics

$$u_t = \alpha'_t \Phi_t + \sigma_w w_t$$
 $\Phi_t = (\pi_t \ \pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$

 Federal Reserve assumed to have an approximating model of unemployment-inflation dynamics

$$u_t = lpha'_t \Phi_t + \sigma_w w_t \quad \Phi_t = (\pi_t \ \pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$$

• π_t is the policy instrument

 Federal Reserve assumed to have an approximating model of unemployment-inflation dynamics

$$u_t = lpha'_t \Phi_t + \sigma_w w_t \quad \Phi_t = (\pi_t \ \pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$$

- π_t is the policy instrument
- *u_t* is the outcome of policy

Federal Reserve learning

-

< //2 → < 三

Federal Reserve learning

• Federal Reserve believes that α_t follows a drifting coefficients model

$$u_{t} = \alpha'_{t} \Phi_{t} + \sigma_{w} \underbrace{w_{t}}_{N(0,1)}$$
$$\alpha_{t} = \alpha_{t-1} + \underbrace{\Lambda_{t}}_{N(0,V)}$$

Federal Reserve learning

• Federal Reserve believes that α_t follows a drifting coefficients model

$$u_{t} = \alpha'_{t} \Phi_{t} + \sigma_{w} \underbrace{w_{t}}_{N(0,1)}$$
$$\alpha_{t} = \alpha_{t-1} + \underbrace{\Lambda_{t}}_{N(0,V)}$$

Coefficients can be estimated by recursive application of Kalman filter

$$\hat{\alpha}_{t+1|t} = \hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1} + \frac{P_{t|t-1}\Phi_t \left(u_t - \Phi'_t \hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1}\right)}{\sigma_w^2 + \Phi'_t P_{t|t-1}\Phi_t}$$

$$P_{t+1|t} = P_{t|t-1} - \frac{P_{t|t-1}\Phi_t \Phi'_t P_{t|t-1}\Phi_t}{\sigma_w^2 + \Phi'_t P_{t|t-1}\Phi_t} + V$$

Definition of optimal policy

Image: A match a ma

Definition of optimal policy

• Policy problem of Federal Reserve

$$\begin{split} \min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda \left(u_{t+j} - u^* \right)^2 \right\} \\ s.t. \\ u_{t+j} &= \alpha'_{t+j} \Phi_{t+j} + \sigma_w w_{t+j} \\ \hat{a}_{t+j+1|t+j} &= \hat{a}_{t+j|t+j-1} + \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j} \left(u_{t+j} - \Phi'_{t+j} \hat{k}_{t+j|t+j-1} \right)}{\sigma_w^2 + \Phi'_{t+j} P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j}} \\ P_{t+j+1|t+j} &= P_{t+j|t+j-1} - \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j} \Phi'_{t+j} P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j}}{\sigma_w^2 + \Phi'_{t+j} P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j}} + V \end{split}$$

< A > < 3

Definition of optimal policy

• Policy problem of Federal Reserve

$$\begin{split} \min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda \left(u_{t+j} - u^* \right)^2 \right\} \\ s.t. \\ u_{t+j} &= \alpha'_{t+j} \Phi_{t+j} + \sigma_w w_{t+j} \\ \hat{\alpha}_{t+j+1|t+j} &= \hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1} + \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j} \left(u_{t+j} - \Phi'_{t+j} \hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1} \right)}{\sigma_w^2 + \Phi'_{t+j} P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j}} \\ P_{t+j+1|t+j} &= P_{t+j|t+j-1} - \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j} \Phi'_{t+j} P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j}}{\sigma_w^2 + \Phi'_{t+j} P_{t+j|t+j-1} \Phi_{t+j}} + V \end{split}$$

• Assume Federal Reserve uses 'anticipated utility' (Kreps (1998)) as decision criterion. Federal Reserve then projects forward using current parameter estimates and approximating model

Optimal 'anticipated utility' policy

▲ @ ▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

Optimal 'anticipated utility' policy

• Standard linear-quadratic problem

$$\begin{split} \min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda \left(\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^* \right)^2 \right\} \\ s.t. \\ \tilde{u}_{t+j} = \hat{\alpha}'_{t|t-1} \hat{\Phi}_{t+j} \end{split}$$

Optimal 'anticipated utility' policy

• Standard linear-quadratic problem

$$\begin{split} \min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda \left(\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^* \right)^2 \right\} \\ s.t. \\ \tilde{u}_{t+j} = \hat{\alpha}'_{t|t-1} \hat{\Phi}_{t+j} \end{split}$$

• Solution is a best-response policy function

$$\pi_t = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})' \phi_t \quad \phi_t = (\pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$$

 Best-response policy function is an approximation of Federal Reserve behaviour

$$\pi_{t} = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{2}w_{2t}$$
$$\hat{\alpha}_{t+j+1|t+j} = \hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1} + \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}(u_{t+j}-\Phi'_{t+j}\hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1})}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}$$
$$P_{t+j+1|t+j} = P_{t+j|t+j-1} - \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}} + V$$

 Best-response policy function is an approximation of Federal Reserve behaviour

$$\pi_{t} = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{2}w_{2t}$$
$$\hat{\alpha}_{t+j+1|t+j} = \hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1} + \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}(u_{t+j}-\Phi'_{t+j}\hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1})}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}$$
$$P_{t+j+1|t+j} = P_{t+j|t+j-1} - \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}} + V$$

 This model can be estimated from data on inflation and unemployment

 Best-response policy function is an approximation of Federal Reserve behaviour

$$\pi_{t} = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{2}w_{2t}$$
$$\hat{\alpha}_{t+j+1|t+j} = \hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1} + \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}\left(u_{t+j}-\Phi'_{t+j}\hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1}\right)}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}$$
$$P_{t+j+1|t+j} = P_{t+j|t+j-1} - \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}} + V$$

 This model can be estimated from data on inflation and unemployment

• Free parameters
$$\left(\sigma_2 \; \delta \; \lambda \; u^* \; \pi^* \; \sigma_w \; V \; \; \hat{lpha}'_{1|0} \; P_{1|0}
ight)$$

Avoiding overparameterisation

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison The Great Inflation and the Greenbook

Avoiding overparameterisation

• 4 parameters are calibrated from macro studies

$$\delta = 0.9936$$
 $\lambda = 1$ $\pi^* = 2$ $u^* = 1$
Avoiding overparameterisation

• 4 parameters are calibrated from macro studies

$$\delta = 0.9936$$
 $\lambda = 1$ $\pi^* = 2$ $u^* = 1$

• Initial values $\hat{\alpha}_{1|0}$ for Kalman filter from training sample 1948:1-1959:12

Avoiding overparameterisation

• 4 parameters are calibrated from macro studies

$$\delta = 0.9936$$
 $\lambda = 1$ $\pi^* = 2$ $u^* = 1$

- Initial values $\hat{\alpha}_{1|0}$ for Kalman filter from training sample 1948:1-1959:12
- σ_w not identified so normalised

Avoiding overparameterisation

• 4 parameters are calibrated from macro studies

$$\delta = 0.9936$$
 $\lambda = 1$ $\pi^* = 2$ $u^* = 1$

- Initial values $\hat{\alpha}_{1|0}$ for Kalman filter from training sample 1948:1-1959:12
- σ_w not identified so normalised
- $\Xi = egin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & P_{1|0} & V \end{pmatrix}$ parameters left to estimate

∃ →

Image: A math a math



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



• Factorise joint distribution and apply Gibbs sampler to draw successively from conditional distributions

• • = • • = •



- Factorise joint distribution and apply Gibbs sampler to draw successively from conditional distributions
- σ_2 has conjugate inverse-gamma prior



- Factorise joint distribution and apply Gibbs sampler to draw successively from conditional distributions
- σ_2 has conjugate inverse-gamma prior
- φ has no suitable conjugate prior, so Metropolis algorithm used to generate draws for Gibbs sampler



- Factorise joint distribution and apply Gibbs sampler to draw successively from conditional distributions
- σ_2 has conjugate inverse-gamma prior
- φ has no suitable conjugate prior, so Metropolis algorithm used to generate draws for Gibbs sampler
- Priors loose as is SWZ



- Factorise joint distribution and apply Gibbs sampler to draw successively from conditional distributions
- σ_2 has conjugate inverse-gamma prior
- φ has no suitable conjugate prior, so Metropolis algorithm used to generate draws for Gibbs sampler
- Priors loose as is SWZ
- Data 1960:1 2003:12 annual PCE inflation and civilian unemployment rate

Inflation without Greenbook data

Inflation without Greenbook data



• This fit is the source of SWZ claim that the learning hypothesis can explain the Great Inflation

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison The Great Inflation and the Greenbook

The Phillips curve without Greenbook data

The Phillips curve without Greenbook data

• Evolution of perceived Phillips curve trade-off, as measured by sum of coefficients on inflation in Federal Reserve's approximating model of unemployment-inflation dynamics



The Phillips curve without Greenbook data

 Evolution of perceived Phillips curve trade-off, as measured by sum of coefficients on inflation in Federal Reserve's approximating model of unemployment-inflation dynamics



• Clear evidence of discovery and abandonment of Phillips curve

A⊒ ► < 3

• Federal Reserve approximating model

$$u_t = \alpha'_t \Phi_t + \sigma_w w_t$$
 $\Phi_t = (\pi_t \ \pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$

• Federal Reserve approximating model

$$u_t = lpha'_t \Phi_t + \sigma_w w_t \quad \Phi_t = (\pi_t \ \pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$$

• Best-response policy function

$$\pi_t = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})' \phi_t \quad \phi_t = (\pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$$

• Federal Reserve approximating model

$$u_t = lpha'_t \Phi_t + \sigma_w w_t \quad \Phi_t = (\pi_t \ \pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$$

Best-response policy function

$$\pi_t = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})' \phi_t \quad \phi_t = (\pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$$

Together these imply unemployment forecasts of the form

$$\hat{E}(u_t) = g(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_t$$

• Federal Reserve approximating model

$$u_t = \alpha'_t \Phi_t + \sigma_w w_t$$
 $\Phi_t = (\pi_t \ \pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$

Best-response policy function

$$\pi_t = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})' \phi_t \quad \phi_t = (\pi_{t-1} \ u_{t-1} \ \pi_{t-2} \ u_{t-2} \ 1)$$

• Together these imply unemployment forecasts of the form

$$\hat{E}(u_t) = g(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})' \phi_t$$

 These can be compared to unemployment forecasts published in the Greenbooks

Unemployment forecasts without Greenbook data

Unemployment forecasts without Greenbook data



• Unemployment forecasts are much too volatile in the estimated model

Unemployment forecasts without Greenbook data



Unemployment forecasts are much too volatile in the estimated model

• Change forecasts $\hat{E}(u_t - u_{t-1})$ are completely uncorrelated with Greenbook forecasts. Actual and fitted forecasts are not consistent

• Inconsistence suggests model should be estimated using both aggregate data and Greenbook forecasts

$$\pi_{t} = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{2}w_{2t}$$

$$E^{GB}(u_{t}) = g(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{3}w_{3t}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{t+j+1|t+j} = \hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1} + \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}(u_{t+j}-\Phi'_{t+j}\hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1})}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}$$

$$P_{t+j+1|t+j} = P_{t+j|t+j-1} - \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}} + V$$

• Inconsistence suggests model should be estimated using both aggregate data and Greenbook forecasts

$$\pi_{t} = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{2}w_{2t}$$

$$E^{GB}(u_{t}) = g(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{3}w_{3t}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{t+j+1|t+j} = \hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1} + \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}(u_{t+j}-\Phi'_{t+j}\hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1})}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}$$

$$P_{t+j+1|t+j} = P_{t+j|t+j-1} - \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}} + V$$

• $h(\cdot)$ and g() are functions of the same structural parameters

• • = • • = •

• Inconsistence suggests model should be estimated using both aggregate data and Greenbook forecasts

$$\pi_{t} = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{2}w_{2t}$$

$$E^{GB}(u_{t}) = g(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{3}w_{3t}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{t+j+1|t+j} = \hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1} + \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}(u_{t+j}-\Phi'_{t+j}\hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1})}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}$$

$$P_{t+j+1|t+j} = P_{t+j|t+j-1} - \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}} + V$$

- $h(\cdot)$ and g() are functions of the same structural parameters
- 'Irrational Expectations Econometrics' because we estimate according to a cross-equation restriction

16 / 24

• Inconsistence suggests model should be estimated using both aggregate data and Greenbook forecasts

$$\pi_{t} = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{2}w_{2t}$$

$$E^{GB}(u_{t}) = g(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1})'\phi_{t} + \sigma_{3}w_{3t}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{t+j+1|t+j} = \hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1} + \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}(u_{t+j}-\Phi'_{t+j}\hat{\alpha}_{t+j|t+j-1})}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}$$

$$P_{t+j+1|t+j} = P_{t+j|t+j-1} - \frac{P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}}{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\Phi'_{t+j}P_{t+j|t+j-1}\Phi_{t+j}} + V$$

- $h(\cdot)$ and g() are functions of the same structural parameters
- 'Irrational Expectations Econometrics' because we estimate according to a cross-equation restriction
- Extra parameter σ_3 implies minor changes to estimation algorithm

Inflation with Greenbook data

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison The Great Inflation and the Greenbook

ም.

Inflation with Greenbook data



• Fit to inflation now worse than before (when $\sigma_2 = 0.23$)

- ∢ ∃ ▶

17 / 24

Inflation with Greenbook data



- Fit to inflation now worse than before (when $\sigma_2=0.23$)
- Consistency ⇒ learning hypothesis has trouble explaining the Great Inflation

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison

• Estimated values of V and $P_{1|0}$ are smaller when model is fitted to Greenbook data

- \bullet Estimated values of V and $P_{1\mid 0}\,$ are smaller when model is fitted to Greenbook data
- Imposing consistency means Federal Reserve perceives coefficients as drifting less

- \bullet Estimated values of V and $P_{1\mid 0}$ are smaller when model is fitted to Greenbook data
- Imposing consistency means Federal Reserve perceives coefficients as drifting less
- Reduced coefficient drift is reflected in evolution of perceived Phillips curve trade-off



- \bullet Estimated values of V and $P_{1\mid 0}$ are smaller when model is fitted to Greenbook data
- Imposing consistency means Federal Reserve perceives coefficients as drifting less
- Reduced coefficient drift is reflected in evolution of perceived Phillips curve trade-off



 Discovery and abandonment of Phillips curve less dramatic than before

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison
Unemployment forecasts with Greenbook data

Unemployment forecasts with Greenbook data



 As expected, fit to Greenbook forecasts has improved. Change forecasts Ê(u_t - u_{t-1}) are now significantly correlated

Unemployment forecasts with Greenbook data



 As expected, fit to Greenbook forecasts has improved. Change forecasts Ê(u_t - u_{t-1}) are now significantly correlated

But this is only at cost of worse fit to dynamics of Great Inflation

э

Image: A math a math

Parameter uncertainty

- Parameter uncertainty
 - Relax anticipated utility assumption that Federal Reserve ignores uncertainty when setting policy

- Parameter uncertainty
 - Relax anticipated utility assumption that Federal Reserve ignores uncertainty when setting policy
 - Potentially important as uncertainty is pervasive, e.g. in perceived Phillips curve



- Parameter uncertainty
 - Relax anticipated utility assumption that Federal Reserve ignores uncertainty when setting policy
 - Potentially important as uncertainty is pervasive, e.g. in perceived Phillips curve



Policy smoothing

- Parameter uncertainty
 - Relax anticipated utility assumption that Federal Reserve ignores uncertainty when setting policy
 - Potentially important as uncertainty is pervasive, e.g. in perceived Phillips curve



Policy smoothing

Introduce additional motivation to smooth policy, e.g. to reduce risk of financial instability

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison The Great Inflation and the Greenbook

Giacomo Carboni and Martin Ellison

-

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 🕨

• Generalised objective for policy

$$\min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda ((\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^*)^2 + \operatorname{var}(u_{t+j})) \right\}$$

• Generalised objective for policy

$$\min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda ((\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^*)^2 + \operatorname{var}(u_{t+j})) \right\}$$

• Project bias term forward using Kreps (1998) 'anticipated utility' as before $\tilde{u}_{t+j} = \hat{\alpha}'_{t|t-1} \hat{\Phi}_{t+j}$

• Generalised objective for policy

$$\min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda ((\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^*)^2 + \operatorname{var}(u_{t+j})) \right\}$$

- Project bias term forward using Kreps (1998) 'anticipated utility' as before $\tilde{u}_{t+j} = \hat{\alpha}'_{t|t-1} \hat{\Phi}_{t+j}$
- Project variance term forward using Sack (2000) approximation $var(u_{t+j}) = \tilde{\Phi}'_{t+j}P_{t|t-1}\tilde{\Phi}_{t+j}$

• Generalised objective for policy

$$\min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda ((\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^*)^2 + \operatorname{var}(u_{t+j})) \right\}$$

- Project bias term forward using Kreps (1998) 'anticipated utility' as before $\tilde{u}_{t+j} = \hat{\alpha}'_{t|t-1} \hat{\Phi}_{t+j}$
- Project variance term forward using Sack (2000) approximation $var(u_{t+j}) = \tilde{\Phi}'_{t+j}P_{t|t-1}\tilde{\Phi}_{t+j}$
- Best-response policy function

$$\pi_t = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1}; P_{t|t-1})' \phi_t$$

• Generalised objective for policy

$$\min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda ((\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^*)^2 + \operatorname{var}(u_{t+j})) \right\}$$

- Project bias term forward using Kreps (1998) 'anticipated utility' as before $\tilde{u}_{t+j} = \hat{\alpha}'_{t|t-1} \hat{\Phi}_{t+j}$
- Project variance term forward using Sack (2000) approximation $var(u_{t+j}) = \tilde{\Phi}'_{t+j}P_{t|t-1}\tilde{\Phi}_{t+j}$
- Best-response policy function

$$\pi_t = h(\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1}; P_{t|t-1})' \phi_t$$

• Policy now depends on current parameter estimates $\hat{\alpha}_{t|t-1}$ and precision with which they are estimated $P_{t|t-1}$.

Results with parameter uncertainty

Results with parameter uncertainty

• Significant improvement in statistical fit of model

Parameter	Baseline model	Parameter uncertainty
σ_2	0.52	0.57
σ_3	0.31	0.26
log-likelihood	-258.1	-208.3

Results with parameter uncertainty

• Significant improvement in statistical fit of model

Parameter	Baseline model	Parameter uncertainty
σ_2	0.52	0.57
σ_3	0.31	0.26
log-likelihood	-258.1	-208.3

• No change in economic fit of model



∃ →

• Policy objective under smoothing

$$\min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda \left(\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^* \right)^2 + 0.5 (\Delta \pi_{t+j})^2 \right\}$$

Policy objective under smoothing

$$\min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda \left(\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^* \right)^2 + 0.5 (\Delta \pi_{t+j})^2 \right\}$$

Results

Parameter	Baseline model	Policy smoothing
σ_2	0.52	0.49
σ_3	0.31	0.27
log-likelihood	-258.1	-152.2

► < Ξ >

• Policy objective under smoothing

$$\min_{\{\pi_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \hat{E} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j \left\{ (\pi_{t+j} - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda \left(\tilde{u}_{t+j} - u^* \right)^2 + 0.5 (\Delta \pi_{t+j})^2 \right\}$$

Results

Parameter	Baseline model	Policy smoothing
σ_2	0.52	0.49
σ_3	0.31	0.27
log-likelihood	-258.1	-152.2

• Smoothing does improve fit of the model in a statistical sense, but not in an economic sense

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

 Estimation results of SWZ are predicated on the Federal Reserve making very volatile forecasts of unemployment

- Estimation results of SWZ are predicated on the Federal Reserve making very volatile forecasts of unemployment
- SWZ explain what the Federal Reserve did, but their explanation for why the Federal Reserve acted in this way is inconsistent with forecasts published in the Greenbooks

- Estimation results of SWZ are predicated on the Federal Reserve making very volatile forecasts of unemployment
- SWZ explain what the Federal Reserve did, but their explanation for why the Federal Reserve acted in this way is inconsistent with forecasts published in the Greenbooks
- Requiring model forecasts to be consistent with Greenbooks makes the learning hypothesis struggle to explain the dynamics of the Great Inflation. The deterioration is robust to other popular objectives for Federal Reserve policy

- Estimation results of SWZ are predicated on the Federal Reserve making very volatile forecasts of unemployment
- SWZ explain what the Federal Reserve did, but their explanation for why the Federal Reserve acted in this way is inconsistent with forecasts published in the Greenbooks
- Requiring model forecasts to be consistent with Greenbooks makes the learning hypothesis struggle to explain the dynamics of the Great Inflation. The deterioration is robust to other popular objectives for Federal Reserve policy
- The door is open to alternative explanations of the Great Inflation